UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,327	04/16/2004	Gary L. Cummings	042984-0365487	7904
	7590 04/14/2010 JRY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP		EXAMINER	
ATTENTION: DOCKETING DEPARTMENT			LEVY, NEIL S	
P.O BOX 10500 McLean, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/14/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/825,327	CUMMINGS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	NEIL LEVY	1615		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 69,-71-87 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdi 5) Claim(s) 69 -76,79-82 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 77,78,83,86 and 87 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 84 and 85 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are Applicant may not request that any objection to the	rawn from consideration.  I/or election requirement.  ner.  ccepted or b) □ objected to by the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Examiner. Note the attached Office	FACION OF IOTH F 10-132.		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate		

10/825,327 Art Unit: 1615

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 77,78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 77 repeats 76; cancel it to overcome this rejection. Then, Claim 78 depends on Claim 76.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 83,86,87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MISSELBROOK et al US005160530A in view LOSEL et al 5707638 and further in view of JUCH et al US005292461A and CHAN et al US005100667A

MISSELBROOK makes Pellets of 5-75% active, inclusive of acephate(col. 6, lines 15 -21) with PVP, polyvinyl alcohol & or other polymeric processing aids (col.

Application/Control Number:

10/825,327 Art Unit: 1615

6,lines 32-48) at up to 10%. The pellets are dried, thus substantially free of water(examples). MISSELBROOK dries pellets at 180C (Examples 1-13) pf free-flowing acephate (Examples 1-3, IX). The water content would thus be approaching) (up to 0.3%). The actives are 50-90% of the microcapsules, the instant pellets (col 6, lines 28-31) and additives can be added, but are not required (col 6, lines 49-52). The instant claim is to "up to" 3.0%, thus inclusive of 0%, and is met by MISSELBROOK, since drying at 180C would leave only traces of moisture, if any, and would be less than the instant processing at 110F.

CHAN (EXAMPLE) also makes Pellets of 95% active, & of the instant density(summary), inclusive of acephate with other polymeric processing aids (col. 4, lines 32-41). Although the now claimed copolymer is not expressed by Misselbrook, film forming polymers are inclusive of the PVA & PVP DERIVATIVES & POlyacrylates.

These are seen to be equivalent as shown by LOSEL@ the top of col. 9. LUVISKOL k & AGRIMER, the instant polymers, are shown, and with preparations inclusive of acephates (col. 3, lines 22).

JUCH (col. 7, lines 50- 55) also makes pellets , and shows the equivalence of the processing aids of MISSELBROOK and LOSEL .

One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious, at the time of the instant invention, to utilize equivalent film forming polymers in the preparation of acephate compositions, and be able to prepare the high density, free flowing, pellets, substantially free of water & solvents as shown by CHAN & MISSELBROOK.

Art Unit: 1615

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/29/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 69-87 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The rejection over claims 69,74-76 & 81 and over 6337327 of 9/30/09 have been withdrawn.

The prior art shows pellets can be made with minimum moisture, solvents & processing aids, the processing aids of the instant invention or equivalent. The current claimed invention permits of the % of active of the prior art pellets of acephate with <3% polymeric processing aids & up to 0.3% moisture, at the instant density.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NEIL LEVY whose telephone number is 571-272-0619. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 7 AM to 5:30 PM EST...

Claims 69-76,79-82 are allowed.

Claims 78,84 & 85 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim77 would be allowable if rewritten, or cancelled, to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1615

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ROBERT A. WAX can be reached on 571-272-0623. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/NEIL LEVY/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1615

4/8/2010